

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,197	05/11/2001	Atsushi Inagaki	1232-4714	5889
	7590 02/26/2008 FINNEGAN, L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
3 WORLD FIN	IANCIAL CENTER		MISLEH, JUSTIN P	
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOPatentCommunications@Morganfinnegan.com Shopkins@Morganfinnegan.com jmedina@Morganfinnegan.com

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/853,197	INAGAKI, ATSUSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Justin P. Misleh	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		· ·			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No. 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7-9,11,12,14 and 15 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7-9,11,12,14 and 15 is/are rejection of the first objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11 May 2001 is/are: a) □ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	vn from consideration. ected. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed November 29, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Applicant admits, "Misawa employs two modes of reading the image signal, namely a normal mode and a macro mode with the latter being operative when an external display device is attached to the video output terminal (41). Misawa further teaches that in the normal mode of operation the imaging device (28) is driven by reading signals from 1/4 or 1/8 of the photoelectric elements (i.e. pixels) whereas in the macro mode of operation signals are read from 1/2 or all of the photoelectric elements. [Misawa, Col. 9, lns. 23-35 and 53-57]." (see Remarks section, page 10).
- 3. However, Applicant subsequently argues, "However, Misawa's two reading modes merely involve changes in how many pixels are skipped between successive reads (e.g., the pixel skip- readout rate) as shown, for example, by Figs. 2 and 4 of Misawa ... Misawa's reading modes do not change the image sensing area from which the image signal is read based upon the image display ON/OFF state as disclosed by Applicant." (see Remarks section, page 11).
- 4. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's position. The "image sensing area" can only correspond to the image signal that is transferred to the CPU (processor) for further processing. In the specification of the present application, on page 23 (line 7) page 24 (line 18) and as shown in figures 6 and 7, the "image sensing area" corresponds to the image signals which are actually read out from the sensor and kept for processing. The signals at the

Art Unit: 2622

top (i.e., before) and bottom (i.e., after) the reading area are swept out and discarded. Even though light impinges upon the entire image sensor, only the signals that are kept for further processing are part of the image sensing area. Similarly, in Misawa, as shown in figures 1-4 and as stated in column 6 (lines 35-41), it is only the signals which are kept that are sent for further processing.

- 5. Therefore, the size of the "image sensing area" for the "first image sensing area" and the "second image sensing area" can only be compared by using the signals that are kept for further processing. In the case of the macro-imaging mode, Misawa keeps all of the signals or at least 1/2 of the signals (via line-skipping) output from the CCD (see figures 1 and 2 and column 8, lines 28 44). In the case of the normal-imaging mode, Misawa keep only 1/4 or 1/8 of the signals (again via line-skipping) output from the CCD (see figures 3 and 4 and column 7, lines 55 64). Thus, the image sensing area in the macro-imaging mode is always larger than the image sensing area of the normal-imaging mode.
- 6. Furthermore, the claim language simply states, "a display designating unit that determines whether an image display device is in an image display ON state, or said image display device is in an image display OFF state". As indicated in the Non-Final Office Action (mailed August 30, 2007), this claim language does not necessarily require that no power is provided/accepted to/by the display device in an image display OFF state and that power must be provided/accepted to/by the display device in an image display ON state. While the claim language requires that the image display device displays an image when the display device is in the image display ON state, the claim language does not specify what happens to the image display device during the image display OFF state. Because of the breadth of this claim

Art Unit: 2622

language, in Misawa connecting the external display device to the terminal (41) signals to the CPU (48) that the external display device is in an image display ON state and disconnecting the external display device signals to the CPU (48) that the external display device is in an image display OFF state. In this regard, the CPU (48) corresponds to the display designating unit.

- 7. Finally, as Applicant has admitted (see above) and as previously agreed upon by the Examiner (see Non-Final Office Action), the camera switches from the normal-imaging mode to the macro-imaging mode when the external display device is connected to the output terminal (41). Therefore, when the display designating unit (CPU 48) determines that the image display device (external display device) is in the image display OFF state (i.e., not connected), the "image sensing area" corresponds to that of the normal-imaging mode where only 1/4 or 1/8 of the sensor signals are readout and kept for AE and AF processing and when the display designating unit (CPU 48) determines that the image display device (external display device) is in the image display ON state (i.e., not connected), the "image sensing area" corresponds to that of the macro-imaging mode where all or at least 1/2 of the sensor signals are readout and kept for AE and AF processing.
- 8. For these reasons, the rejection will be maintained. Since Applicant states, "Independent claims 8 and 15 are method and control program claims, respectively, which are analogous to the apparatus of claim 1 and, hence, are asserted as patentable for at least similar reasons", the above response is equally applicable to independent Claims 8 and 15.

Application/Control Number:

09/853,197 Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 10. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 12, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Misawa (US 6,700,607 B1).

The Examiner notes Claims 1, 8, and 15 are respective corresponding apparatus, method, and control program claims. Accordingly, they will be rejected together using the claim language of Claim 1.

11. For Claims 1, 8, and 15, Misawa discloses, as shown in figures 1-5, an image sensing apparatus, comprising:

an image sensor that outputs an image signal of a subject (28 – figure 5);

a display designating unit (CPU 48 – figure 5) that determines whether an image display device ("external image display apparatus" connected via output means 41; see column 9, lines 17 – 23) is in an image display ON state ("detector detects the connection ..."; see column 9, lines 31 and 32), or said image display device ("external image display apparatus") is in an image display OFF state (image display ON state appears to correspond to when an image is displayed and image display OFF state appears to correspond to when an image is not displayed),

said image display device displaying an image based on said signal when said display device is in the image display ON state (see Examiner's explanation below)

a first control unit (CPU 48 – figure 5) that adjusts a focus according to a focus evaluating value based on said image signal (see column 9, lines 23 - 35 and 53 - 57, and column 10, lines 23 - 34), and

a second control unit that changes reading manners of the image signal from the said image sensor so that the image signal is read from a first image sensing including a focusing signed detecting area ("image sensing area" corresponding to the normal-imaging mode) when said display designating unit (CPU 48) determines that said image display device is in the image display OFF state (see Examiner's explanation below) and the image signal is read from a second image sensing area ("image sensing area" correspond to the macro-imaging mode) which is larger than said first image sensing area when said display designating unit determines that said image display device is in the image display ON state (see Examiner's explanation below).

The "image sensing area" can only correspond to the image signal that is transferred to the CPU (processor) for further processing. In the specification of the present application, on page 23 (line 7) – page 24 (line 18) and as shown in figures 6 and 7, the "image sensing area" corresponds to the image signals which are actually read out from the sensor and kept for processing. The signals at the top (i.e., before) and bottom (i.e., after) the reading area are swept out and discarded. Even though light impinges upon the entire image sensor, only the signals that are kept for further processing are part of the image sensing area. Similarly, in Misawa, as shown in figures 1 – 4 and as stated in column 6 (lines 35 – 41), it is only the signals which are kept that are sent for further processing.

Art Unit: 2622

Therefore, the size of the "image sensing area" for the "first image sensing area" and the "second image sensing area" can only be compared by using the signals that are kept for further processing. In the case of the macro-imaging mode, Misawa keeps all of the signals or at least 1/2 of the signals (via line-skipping) output from the CCD (see figures 1 and 2 and column 8, lines 28 – 44). In the case of the normal-imaging mode, Misawa keep only 1/4 or 1/8 of the signals (again via line-skipping) output from the CCD (see figures 3 and 4 and column 7, lines 55 – 64). Thus, the image sensing area in the macro-imaging mode is always larger than the image sensing area of the normal-imaging mode.

Furthermore, the claim language simply states, "a display designating unit that determines whether an image display device is in an image display ON state, or said image display device is in an image display OFF state". As indicated in the Non-Final Office Action (mailed August 30, 2007), this claim language does not necessarily require that no power is provided/accepted to/by the display device in an image display OFF state and that power must be provided/accepted to/by the display device in an image display ON state. While the claim language requires that the image display device displays an image when the display device is in the image display ON state, the claim language does not specify what happens to the image display device during the image display OFF state. Because of the breadth of this claim language, in Misawa connecting the external display device to the terminal (41) signals to the CPU (48) that the external display device is in an image display ON state and disconnecting the external display device is in an image display OFF state. In this regard, the CPU (48) corresponds to the display designating unit.

Art Unit: 2622

Finally, as Applicant has admitted (see above) and as previously agreed upon by the Examiner (see Non-Final Office Action), the camera switches from the normal-imaging mode to the macro-imaging mode when the external display device is connected to the output terminal (41). Therefore, when the display designating unit (CPU 48) determines that the image display device (external display device) is in the image display OFF state (i.e., not connected), the "image sensing area" corresponds to that of the normal-imaging mode where only 1/4 or 1/8 of the sensor signals are readout and kept for AE and AF processing and when the display designating unit (CPU 48) determines that the image display device (external display device) is in the image display ON state (i.e., not connected), the "image sensing area" corresponds to that of the macro-imaging mode where all or at least 1/2 of the sensor signals are readout and kept for AE and AF processing.

Misawa provides evidence of a control programs that cause the CPU (48) to control camera operations in certain ways is found in column 7 (lines 26 - 35).

12. As for Claims 2 and 9, Misawa discloses, as shown in figures 1-5, wherein said second control unit sweeps off the image signal in an entire image sensing area not including said first image sensing area at high speed when said display designating unit determines that said image display device is in the image display OFF state (see Examiner's explanation).

The "image sensing area" can only correspond to the image signal that is transferred to the CPU (processor) for further processing. In the specification of the present application, on page 23 (line 7) – page 24 (line 18) and as shown in figures 6 and 7, the "image sensing area" corresponds to the image signals which are actually read out from the sensor and kept for processing. The signals at the top (i.e., before) and bottom (i.e., after) the reading area are swept

Art Unit: 2622

out and discarded. Even though light impinges upon the entire image sensor, only the signals that are kept for further processing are part of the image sensing area. Similarly, in Misawa, as shown in figures 1-4 and as stated in column 6 (lines 35-41), it is only the signals which are kept that are sent for further processing.

13. As for Claims 5 and 12, Misawa discloses, as stated in column 9 (lines 31 and 32), that the detector (CPU 48) detects the connection to an external monitor (via Image Output 41). Furthermore, Misawa discloses, as shown in figure 5, that the image signal <u>is</u> passed to the external monitor (via Image Output 41) <u>only after</u> the Digital Signal Processing Part (34 – figure 5) has processed the image signal. Accordingly, the circuit arrangement inherently prohibits the image signal from being displayed on the external monitor prior to the completion of processing and when no connection to the external monitor exists. Furthermore, the Examiner considers "while image sensing apparatus photographs said sensed image signal" to correspond to the image signal's passage through the entire circuit of figure 5 (i.e., from image capture to image display/storage).

Therefore, Misawa discloses a display prohibiting device (figure 5) that prohibits display of said image by said image display device ("external monitor") at least until photographing processing is completed (Processing Part 34) if said display designating unit (CPU 48) determines that said image is displayed by said image display device while said image sensing apparatus photographs said sensed image signal.

Application/Control Number:

09/853,197

Art Unit: 2622

Page 10

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 4, 7, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misawa (US 6,700,607 B1).

The Examiner notes Claims 4/11 and 7/14 are respective corresponding apparatus and method claims. Accordingly, they will be rejected together, respectively.

16. As for Claims 4 and 11, Misawa discloses, as stated in column 7 (lines 41 an 42), "a known focusing means such as an AF sensor may also be used"; but does not necessarily specify wherein said focus evaluating value is obtained based on a high frequency component of said image signal obtained by said image sensor, as claimed.

However, Official Notice (MPEP § 2144.03) is taken that both the concepts and advantages of obtaining a focus evaluating value based on a high frequency component of an image signal obtained by an image sensor are well known and expected in the art. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to have obtained said focus evaluating value based on a high frequency component of said image signal obtained by said image sensor for the advantage of performing focusing based upon fine image detail and feature edges.

Art Unit: 2622

17. As for Claims 7 and 14, Misawa controlling the image sensing apparatus by means of a CPU (48 – figure 5); although Misawa does not specifically disclose wherein determination by said display designating unit is stored in a memory as an image display flag.

However, Official Notice (MPEP § 2144.03) is taken that both the concepts and advantages of storing information regarding image sensing apparatus operations and conditions as flags in a memory are well known and expected in the art. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to have designation by said display designation unit/step is stored in a memory as an image display flag for the advantage of providing a readily upgradeable method of operation.

Conclusion

18. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2622

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Justin P Misleh whose telephone number is 571.272.7313. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on 571.272.7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Justin P. Misleh/ Examiner, GAU 2622 February 15, 2008